DILWORTH NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING REPORT

Petitioner: The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority

Rezoning Petition No. 2019-114

This Dilworth Neighborhood Meeting Report is being filed with the Office of the City Clerk and the Charlotte Planning, Design and Development Department. This meeting was not a required meeting under the provisions of the City of Charlotte Zoning Ordinance.

DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF MEETING:

The Dilworth Neighborhood Meeting was held on Wednesday, February 12, 2020 at 6:30 PM in the Tom Sykes Recreation Center at Dilworth Elementary School located at 1501 Euclid Avenue in Charlotte, North Carolina.

PERSONS IN ATTENDANCE AT MEETING (see attached copy of sign-in sheet):

The meeting was attended by those individuals identified on the sign-in sheet attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Petitioner's representatives at the meeting were Bennett Thompson of the Petitioner, Jeff Schroder of the Petitioner, Steve Blakley and Ryan Lewis of Kimley Horn and Associates and John Carmichael of Robinson Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A.

Invitations to this meeting were not sent by the Petitioner.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES DISCUSSED:

Valerie Preston welcomed the attendees to the meeting and introduced herself and Bennett Thompson of Atrium Health. Bennett Thompson introduced the Petitioner's rezoning team.

Bennett Thompson shared a power point presentation and video and provided a brief history of Carolinas Medical Center and information on campus expansion during the last 70 years. He explained the challenges that are facing Carolinas Medical Center with the age of the buildings and infrastructure on the campus, since most of the buildings were built more than 30 years ago. Some of the needs include the following:

- 1. Replacing outdated and aging buildings.
- 2. Providing modern patient rooms and new medical technology.
- 3. Becoming more energy efficient.
- 4. Improving the overall experience for visitors and patients with updated wayfinding and serving the community by improving health, elevating hope and advancing healing for all.

Bennett Thompson introduced a six minute video of the proposed project produced by Kimley Horn and Associates that shows the potential phases of the project, which phases will allow uninterrupted hospital operation and cause minimal disruption to the campus, patients and families. Construction of the new bed tower would potentially begin in May 2022, and the new bed tower could potentially open in May 2026. The construction of the new rehabilitation hospital would begin this year.

Bennett Thompson outlined some of the reasons why the project needs to take place.

1. The hospital facilities are aging. The project would allow Atrium to support community healthcare needs for the next 20-30 years and beyond.

- 2. The older portions of the hospital cannot support the latest and best technology.
- 3. The oldest portions of the hospital need to be taken down.
- 4. The North Carolina building code for hospitals now requires more space than our existing CMC buildings and greater floor-to-ceiling heights.

Bennett Thompson stated that the project sequencing allows for uninterrupted operations. He also stated that this is the only feasible location for the new rehabilitation hospital and the new bed tower due to site constraints, including energy infrastructure, topography, facility alignment and operational concerns.

Bennett Thompson stated that this project is part of a larger plan. This project is the result of several years of study and the creation of a Strategic Facilities Master Plan.

Bennett Thompson then introduced Steve Blakley with Kimley Horn and Associates to share the proposed access and greenway plans for the project.

Steve Blakley stated that Kimley Horn and Associates has been working with CDOT and NCDOT regarding traffic and accessibility. We prepared a Traffic Study and are continuing to work with CDOT and NCDOT regarding transportation improvements. Atrium will be implementing improvements based on the final requirements from both CDOT and NCDOT.

Steve Blakley stated that accessibility improvements have focused on both connectivity improvements and multi-modal transportation.

Connectivity improvements include:

- 1. Upgraded Medical Center Drive with a new bridge and wider sidewalks:
- 2. Loop Road alignment with Buchanan Street and conversion of the remainder of Buchanan Street to a two-way street;
 - 3. Direct connection from Scott Deck to Scott Avenue; and
 - 4. Garden Terrace will have improved access to the campus by the new Loop Road.

Steve Blakley stated that this project would not connect to Fountain View.

Multi-Modal improvements include:

- 1. Pedestrian activated signal at Loop Road and Scott Avenue;
- 2. Multi-Use path (bike and pedestrian) along the new Loop Road to connect to the greenway; and
- 3. Little Sugar Creek Greenway reconstruction.

Steve Blakley then discussed the proposed improvements to that portion of the Little Sugar Creek Greenway that is located next to the campus. These improvements include:

1. Reconstruct the greenway along the frontage of the campus from Morehead Street to East Boulevard;

- 2. The current trail is 8' wide, has three low water crossings and limited community access (such as steps near Morehead Street); and
- 3. With respect to the new greenway trail, Petitioner proposes to:
 - a. Widen it to current Mecklenburg County standards;
 - b. Replace the steps at Morehead Street with a ramp connection;
 - c. Improve the interface between the trail and stream (new wall);
 - d. Update the northern low water crossing to meet current standards and remove the two southern crossings;
 - e. Remove the existing Medical Center Drive bridge and construct a new bridge with a longer span to accommodate the new greenway;
 - f. Improve connectivity to the campus with ramps and steps;
 - g. Provide locations for sitting along the trail;
 - h. Pull the greenway above the creek-line elevation;
 - i. Provide a new ramp connection to Lombardy Circle; and
 - j. Improved connectivity to East Boulevard with a new ramp on the north side of East Boulevard.

Steve Blakley discussed the proposed wayfinding improvements. He stated that during the design and permitting of the project, an updated wayfinding package will be prepared for the overall campus that will include improved signage around the perimeter and internal to the campus to cause smoother flows into and out of the hospital.

Steve Blakley then discussed the Transportation Demand Management Plan that would be implemented as part of this project by Atrium. He stated that the purpose of a Transportation Demand Management Plan is to reduce the number of vehicular trips to the campus. Steve Blakley stated that this is a new approach for the City, and Transportation Demand Management Plans are used in other large metropolitan areas.

Steve Blakley stated that Transportation Demand Management Plan techniques include: ride share, improved public transit, staggered work shifts, increased pedestrian and bicycle trips and parking control strategies.

Steve Blakley stated that Atrium is currently evaluating parking demand and supply on the campus and developing parking strategies for the entire campus that would be an integral part of the Transportation Demand Management Plan.

Steve Blakley stated that Atrium is combining traditional transportation capacity improvements, accessibility and multimodal improvements and Transportation Demand Management Plan strategies to address transportation issues in and around the campus.

Steve Blakley introduced John Carmichael who briefly reviewed the rezoning process and the rezoning plan.

John Carmichael stated that the Official Community Meeting relating to this Rezoning Petition was held on February 5, 2020 on the CMC Main Campus.

The purposes of this meeting tonight are to share the rezoning proposal and the site plan with the Dilworth community, to receive comments on the rezoning proposal and to answer questions for those of you who did not attend the meeting last week.

John Carmichael stated that he will prepare a report of this meeting and file it with the Planning Department. This report will not be a verbatim transcript of the meeting tonight, however, it will be a summary of comments, questions and answers.

John Carmichael shared the potential rezoning schedule with the attendees. He stated that these dates are the earliest dates that these events could occur. It is always possible that the Public Hearing and/or the City Council decision could get delayed.

Under this schedule, the Public Hearing would be held on Monday, March 16, 2020 at 5:30 PM at the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center located at 600 East Fourth Street.

The Public Hearing is before City Council and the Zoning Committee.

The Zoning Committee would hold its Work Session on Tuesday, March 31, 2020 at 5:30 PM at the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center. This Work Session is a public meeting, but it is not a Public Hearing. The Zoning Committee and the Planning Staff would discuss each Rezoning Petition that was heard at the March 16, 2020 City Council meeting and at the conclusion of the discussion regarding each Rezoning Petition, the Zoning Committee would vote and make a recommendation to City Council as to whether or not the Rezoning Petition should be approved or denied.

This Rezoning Petition would go back to City Council for a decision on Monday, April 20, 2020 at 5:30 PM at the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center.

If the Public Hearing were delayed one month, it would occur on Monday, April 20, 2020. The City Council decision would also be delayed a month until May 18, 2020.

John Carmichael stated that Atrium is requesting that the site be rezoned to the MUDD-O zoning district to accommodate the construction of a new hospital bed tower, a new rehabilitation hospital and the future renovation and expansion of Atrium's facilities on the site.

John Carmichael shared slides showing the Rezoning Plan. He stated that the site is divided into eight Development Areas on the Rezoning Plan that are designated as Development Areas A through H. John Carmichael briefly discussed each Development Area.

John Carmichael stated that the permitted uses for each Development Area are listed on the Rezoning Plan.

John Carmichael reviewed the maximum building height in each Development Area under the Rezoning Plan.

The maximum building height in Development Area A would be 150 feet.

The maximum building height in Development Area B would be 200 feet.

The maximum building height in Development Area C would be 110 feet.

In Development Area D, the maximum building height would be 250 feet. However, the maximum building height would be 200 feet in the outer building envelope as the height steps down towards the edge of Development Area D.

Development Areas E and F would not have any buildings or structures.

In Development Area G, the maximum building height is 60 feet. However, the maximum building height would be 40 feet in the outer building envelope as the height steps down towards the edge of Development Area G.

In Development Area H, the maximum building height would be 150 feet. However, the maximum building height would step down to 110 feet, 60 feet and then 40 feet towards the edge of Building Envelope H.

The Meeting was then devoted to a question, answer and comment session. Set out below is a summary of the responses to the questions as well as the comments and concerns that were expressed at the meeting.

- An attendee asked what the timeframe would be for the construction of the greenway improvements. In response, Ryan Lewis stated that they are currently working on the plans for these improvements with the contractor, Mecklenburg County and others. It is a work in progress, and the timeframe has not been nailed down.
- This attendee then asked if the timeframe would be on the front end of the project or on the back end. In response, John Carmichael stated that the greenway improvements would be required to be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the new bed tower, and the new bed tower is currently proposed to be completed in 2026. Under the Rezoning Plan, the greenway improvements are tied to a certificate of occupancy to ensure that the greenway improvements are completed in a timely manner. The greenway improvements could be completed before 2026, however.
- An attendee commented that completing the greenway improvements on the front end would be a show of goodwill.
- An attendee stated that she understands that long range plans for this site cannot be provided. This attendee requested a visual presentation that is similar to the proposal the Charlotte Housing Authority provided for a 16 acre parcel on South Boulevard. She stated that it would be helpful to see a potential plan going forward. She stated that she would like more information on the planned future improvements. In response, Bennett Thompson stated that the intent is to be transparent and share information in a way to visualize it. Bennett Thompson stated that he is not familiar with the Charlotte Housing Authority presentation, but that they could try to take a look at it and if it is something that Atrium can provide, they would be happy to do so.

- In response to a question, Ryan Lewis stated that the new rehabilitation hospital has to be completed pretty quickly so that the construction of the new bed tower can begin. The greenway improvements could take a long time to complete so it would not be possible to tie the greenway improvements to the certificate of occupancy for the new rehabilitation hospital. Bennett Thompson stated the new rehabilitation hospital is currently proposed to open in 2022.
- In response to a question, Bennett Thompson stated that Atrium is not purchasing any properties on East Boulevard.
- An attendee asked if Atrium has revisited using Garden Terrace for construction traffic. In response, Jeff Schroder stated that ingress and egress for construction traffic is challenging for the site. There is not a great way to bring construction traffic into the site. We would try to limit the timeframe for construction traffic, such as 11-4, and the types of trucks that are used. We will monitor construction traffic during the construction process.
- An attendee asked if materials will be brought into the site for the entire 7 to 8 year construction process for the rehabilitation hospital and the new bed tower. Jeff Schroder stated that Atrium is looking at using some pre-fabricated materials in an effort to bring in less materials to the site and to decrease construction traffic. However, construction trucks will definitely be coming to the site during the 7 to 8 year construction process.
- An attendee asked how much traffic would be generated by adding 1,100 beds to the campus. Bennett Thompson stated that Atrium is replacing beds, not adding beds. He stated that Steve Blakley mentioned a Traffic Demand Management Plan. An example of a component of this plan would be an incentive for employees to take public transportation rather than driving to the campus. Steve Blakley stated that the Traffic Demand Management Plan is a first of its kind in North Carolina, however, it is a tool utilized in other urban areas around the country. Steve Blakley stated that we are drawing from techniques used in other urban areas.
- An attendee asked what time of day construction would commence on the campus and what time of day construction would cease. This attendee also asked how many days a week construction would take place. Jeff Schroder stated that the noise ordinance is 7 AM to 7 PM., and that Atrium would comply with the noise ordinance. Jeff Schroder stated that construction activities would occur 5 to 6 days per week, but Atrium would try to limit it to 5 days per week.
- An attendee asked how much additional gross floor area could be constructed on the site under the rezoning plan. John Carmichael stated that there is currently approximately 3.3 million square feet of gross floor area on the campus. Under the rezoning plan, a maximum of 4,795,000 square feet of gross floor area could be constructed on the site. This is a net increase of approximately 1.5 million square feet of gross floor area. The maximum number of multi-family dwelling units would be 425, and the multi-family dwelling units would count towards the maximum allowed gross floor area. The square

- footage of parking decks would not count towards the maximum 4,795,000 square feet of gross floor area.
- Bennett Thompson mentioned that last week at the Community Meeting, an attendee asked if the campus will have enough parking in view of the demolition of the Blythe parking deck. This attendee mentioned that we do not show a replacement parking deck on the plan. Bennett Thompson stated that there will be additional parking on campus, but we do not know where it will be located at this point. However, we will share the location of the additional parking with the community when the location is determined. John Carmichael mentioned that valet parking will be provided on the site as well. Bennett Thompson stated that even with the removal of the Blythe parking deck, the campus has enough parking to meet code.
- An attendee asked about the type of multi-family housing that could be located on the site. Bennett Thompson stated that any housing would be workforce housing.
- An attendee asked about a dormitory for the medical school. Bennett Thompson stated that we do not have plans for that right now. Bennett Thompson stated that there have been a lot of discussions about a medical school but we do not have a location for the medical school that can be shared today. Bennett Thompson stated that when they can discuss the medical school in more detail, they will share that information.
- An attendee asked if the construction traffic can occur at a time other than during rush hours. Jeff Schroder stated that construction materials would be delivered during non-peak hours. Jeff Schroder stated that Atrium will listen to the concerns of the neighbors during the construction process and make appropriate adjustments.
- An attendee commented that she is struggling to understand the point of the connecting road and that it does not make sense to her. This attendee also asked if a medical school is contemplated, why we are not looking at that now with this rezoning. Bennett Thompson stated that the answer to the second question is that we are looking at a medical school right now but we cannot share information on the medical school at this time.
- In response to a question, Jeff Schroder stated that they will be shuttling in workers to the site from off-site, 60-80 workers at peak time. Parking will be monitored. Bennett Thompson stated that during the construction process, a communication team will be communicating with the neighborhood through a website that will provide information regarding the construction activities. Neighbors can potentially ask questions through the website as well.
- An attendee asked about the tree save proposal and what is going to happen to all the trees that will be impacted by the construction. Ryan Lewis stated that there is a 15% tree save requirement. There are existing trees along Loop Road and many of these trees will be left in place. Also, some of the trees along Blythe Road should remain as well.

- An attendee asked if there would be a net tree loss or gain due to the construction. Ryan Lewis stated tree save areas would be located on 15% of the site. You will see mature trees come down but you will have more green space on campus. Atrium will also plant new trees on the site.
- An attendee asked what size trees are you going to plant and how will you buffer and shield the monstrous buildings from the neighborhood. Ryan Lewis stated that the types of trees will be in accordance with the code.
- An attendee asked about Garden Terrace and stated that the new loop or curve to Garden Terrace will interfere with the new construction. This attendee asked if Atrium will take down buildings and homes on Garden Terrace. This attendee asked for a presentation showing how the construction will take place on the loop. Ryan Lewis stated that there is a phased approach on the how the construction is accomplished. This attendee stated that he needs to see how the changes will take place.
- An attendee commented that a sore spot for the last four decades has been the purchasing of houses across Loop Road by Atrium and the loss of housing. This attendee asked how many housing units will be lost from the neighborhood due to this project and would you consider taking out some of the medical uses and committing to workforce housing on Garden Terrace. John Carmichael stated that we can talk about that. John Carmichael stated that Atrium owns a majority of the houses on Garden Terrace and is not kicking people out of their homes. Ryan Lewis stated that 11-17 homes will be removed, and these homes are owned by Atrium.
- An attendee asked if Garden Terrace will be relocated first before construction commences on the campus, or will it be the last piece. Ryan Lewis stated that they are working through this now and the primary initial goals are to construct the new rehabilitation hospital and to provide access to that building. This attendee commented that construction on Garden Terrace would start sometime later. Another attendee commented that they cannot change Garden Terrace until they get most of the construction done but that construction traffic will be on Garden Terrace every day.
- An attendee asked if a parking deck would go on the green space next to Lombardy Circle. Bennett Thompson stated that Atrium's current parking plans that are under consideration do not include that area as a solution for the replacement of the Blythe parking deck. However, Bennett Thompson stated that under the rezoning plan that area could be considered in the future. This attendee asked if it becomes a parking deck could it be designed not to come within 50 feet of the adjacent lots. Bennett Thompson stated that definite plans are not in place. Bennett Thompson shared a visual of the space with the attendees and stated that the Petitioner is discussing plans regarding the buffer and will share an answer when they reach a decision.
- An attendee commented that the drawing looks illogical. Ryan Lewis stated that the plan is constructible.

• An attendee asked about the permitted uses on the site under the rezoning plan. John Carmichael quickly reviewed the permitted uses in each Development Area as follows.

Development Area A

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.
- (e) Colleges or universities, including a medical college and/or a nursing school, and dormitories for the students of any such colleges or universities.
- (f) Multi-family and planned multi-family dwelling units.
- (g) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.

Development Area B

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.
- (e) Colleges or universities, including a medical college and/or a nursing school, and dormitories for the students of any such colleges or universities.
- (f) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.

Development Area C

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.

- (e) Multi-family and planned multi-family dwelling units.
- (f) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.

Development Area D

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.
- (e) Colleges or universities, including a medical college and/or a nursing school, and dormitories for the students of any such colleges or universities.
- (f) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.

Development Area E

(a) Open space and/or tree save areas. Would not be developed with buildings or structures.

Development Area F

(a) Open space and/or tree save areas. Would not be developed with buildings or structures.

Development Area G

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.
- (e) Colleges or universities, including a medical college and/or a nursing school, and dormitories for the students of any such colleges or universities.
- (f) Multi-family and planned multi-family dwelling units.
- (g) Dwellings, detached, duplex, triplex and quadraplex.
- (h) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.

Development Area H

- (a) Health institutions, including hospitals, clinics and similar uses.
- (b) Laboratories, dental, medical and optical.
- (c) Laboratories, within an enclosed building for applied and basic research.
- (d) Professional business and general offices, including clinics, medical, dental and doctors' offices.
- (e) Structured parking facilities as an accessory use.
- An attendee asked about the connectivity to Latta Park. Steve Blakley stated that the City has wanted the connection to Latta Park but an alignment has not been achieved. Steve Blakley has talked with the City about how to make this happen.
- An attendee asked where the nursing school is going. Bennett Thompson stated that the nursing school was relocated to a site on Tyvola Road in January.
- An attendee who lives on Scott Avenue commented that she takes her life in her hands at least 4 times a day every time she crosses Scott Avenue. She has a clear line of sight to the traffic light on East Boulevard. In 20 years, she has seen many cars traveling the wrong way on Scott Avenue and traffic accidents, and there is no way she would take Fillmore Avenue to her home. This attendee stated that Atrium should rethink the connectivity with Buchanan and the impact that it would have on the people living on Buchanan. It looks good on a flat map but in reality it is absolutely crazy. This attendee welcomes CDOT to sit on her porch and watch the traffic. She also stated that she cannot see Loop Road as a legitimate ingress/egress to the campus. Steve Blakley stated that her points are taken and that they will meet with CDOT and all parameters will be considered. This attendee stated that when Latta Park was constructed that she reported accidents and yelled at CDOT. The road was then widened.
- An attendee agreed with the comments made by the previous attendee and then made a serious request relating to a new parking deck. She stated that parking decks are currently mooning the neighborhood. She asked when planning for a new parking deck, that Atrium consider putting a new parking deck on the interior of the campus.
- An attendee asked what kind of commercial traffic will there be after the construction is completed and where deliveries will be made. An attendee commented that currently delivery trucks are not to use Garden Terrace but many delivery trucks just ignore the signs. Ryan Lewis stated that the main entrance is Medical Center Drive and that is not changing. The truck dock will essentially be in the same location as today. The level of commercial traffic should not change. The improvements on campus should allow traffic to circulate better.

- An attendee asked what the plans are for additional helipads in Development Area A. Bennett Thompson shared a slide and stated there is not a plan for additional helipads in Development Area A. John Carmichael stated that helipads are allowed in Development Area A, Development Area B and Development Area D under the rezoning plan. Bennett Thompson stated that the new helipads will be higher than the existing helipads and that the traffic patterns are not expected to change.
- An attendee asked if St. Patricks School has been involved in the traffic pattern change to Buchanan. Steve Blakley commented that CDOT wants Buchanan to become a two way street from Kenilworth to Scott.
- An attendee asked if Atrium is planning to do anything about smoking on the greenway, and stated that the greenway is used as an ashtray by the hospital. She stated that people do not obey the signs even though fines could be issued. Bennett Thompson stated that it is a hospital operations question and not something related to the rezoning or the construction of the new projects. Bennett Thompson stated that it has been a problem for a long time and has been addressed in multiple ways. The hospital is aware of the problem. Bennett Thompson offered to speak with hospital administration and follow up with the attendee.
- An attendee agreed that second hand smoke is a hazard. This attendee stated that it is a much greater hazard for helicopters to fly over residential areas. This attendee stated that in the past the hospital made a commitment to the surrounding neighborhood that there would not be an increase in helicopter activity and offered to put the Petitioner in touch with his attorney. John Carmichael asked for clarification if it is the number of helicopters or helipads. This attendee stated that it is the number of helicopter flights. Bennett Thompson stated that we are not adding beds or patients and the two helipads are not an indication of additional flights. John Carmichael shared his experience at the emergency room and asked how the number of flights could be controlled. This attendee commented that is inappropriate for the hospital to be growing and growing and to increase helicopter flights over residential areas. John Carmichael offered for the attendee's attorney to give him a call. Another attendee noted that the hospital is a trauma center.

Valerie Preston thanked the attendees for attending the meeting and she stated that Petitioner's representatives would stay after the meeting to address any additional questions and concerns. The meeting was then adjourned.

After the meeting was adjourned, an individual who lives on Lombardy Circle expressed a concern regarding the pedestrian connection to the greenway from Lombardy Circle, and asked that it be removed. This individual was concerned about the impact of this connection on the safety of the residents on Lombardy Circle. This individual also expressed a concern regarding individuals smoking on the greenway and suggested that Atrium have designated smoking areas on the campus.

Also, at the Official Community Meeting on February 5, 2020, an attendee asked a question about preserving trees on the site when relocating Loop Road. Steve Blakley stated it was their intent to preserve as many mature trees as they can.

CHANGES MADE TO THE PETITION AS A RESULT OF THE DILWORTH NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AS OF THE DATE HEREOF:

No changes have been made to the rezoning plan or to the Rezoning Petition as of the date of this Dilworth Neighborhood Meeting Report solely as a result of the meeting. However, revisions are being considered.

Respectfully submitted, this 5th day of March, 2020.

The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority, Petitioner

cc: Ms. Claire Lyte-Graham, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department (via e-mail)

Dilworth Meeting Attendance Sheet

** This attendance sheet is to acknowledge your attendance at the community meeting so that City Council may know who attended the community meeting. Signing this attendance sheet does not indicate support or opposition to the proposed rezoning tion. **

Petitioner: The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority

Rezoning Petition No. 2019-114

Wednesday, February 12, 2020 at 6:30 P.M.

	NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
	GENE KENNENY	1165 LINGANDRE PL	764-607-809	1 Swin Boy & mas - com
1.	Ganet Hall	1239 Belgioue Pl	704-999-3660	hall 12390 istoud com
2.	LANE JACORS	670 & Worthing for	704375-439	
3.	Josh Rimany	1917 Lenna Ave	704-965-6042	
4.	BRYCE FJOLIE NOFSINGER	2205 CUT DR	704.519.7828	
5.	Lisad Scott Dunova	730 E Kingson	980800	lisa@helenadams
6.	PAT SWest	1021 Isleworth	704-333-2099	patsweet & ATT. NET/
7.	Vickie Amon	1738 Lombardy (in	619-9163	Vickieamon@ outlook, com
8.	Lee Teeter	1716 Lombardy Cir	618-5962	

-	NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
9.				/
	Sarah Hyde	116-e. worthington	104965	Sarahshydeleme com
10.	DONNKINZLE	1207 Mystle Ac.	602 689-6867	DKINLIECCPIAZ.com
11.	GARY KLASEN	1315 East Blud #616	216-577-2341	gary Mass @ rahoo. con
12.	Caura Neer	1574 Clayten Dr	704-905	Lauro Meierfermeck, com
13.	Behlu	740 Berkely Are	704 614 3571	boh meles & smal. ca
14.	Chris Certe	416 F. Kingston Ave.	704-619-8599	chisecucrtergisup.com
15.	JUSAN Morrow	429 E. Worthington 1324 Belled Rd 28208	764-124-949	nononsusa grant
16.	Victoria Wat lington	1324 Belled Rd 28208	1	victoria. watlingtona charlottenc. gov
17.	ELIEN BARRE	2125 FLOBAL AFE	707-372-133}	
18.	Franklin Keuthley	2174 RIX Rd	764-913-37	Affanklin Kenthley Ogmanlin
19.	Ellen Gtarella			,
20.	Andre Balay	322 E. Kroyston 1234 Lexington De	For 737-49	Entarella @ bellsath.net / adesant 3 Gackley Co mo, con
21.	RICK Hantlers	2021 Springdale Ave	484-844-2086	othantley agminilium

	NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
22.	MUJTABA MCHAMMED		704-6093457	I fol Mehamed NK. Com
23.	Chr. 3 Cabaniss			chris@ori-group.com
24.	Deb Canally Tohn (arall		610-639	d can ally 5 com cost nell
25.	John Caraly		610-64-3180	
26.	missyonen		704 506 9498	emower etinf Iton. com
27.	Missy Over Tony Mecia		704-779-	te earneci+ledger-com/
28.	Dana Jodice		701.7370774	dana emallstont cam con
29.				
30.				
31.				
32.				
33.				
34.				
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

Dilworth Meeting Attendance Sheet

** This attendance sheet is to acknowledge your attendance at the community meeting so that City Council may know who attended the community meeting. Signing this attendance sheet does not indicate support or opposition to the proposed rezoning petition. **

Petitioner: The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority

Rezoning Petition No. 2019-114

Wednesday, February 12, 2020 at 6:30 P.M.

	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
				1
1.	Busanne Yabicsek	1791 Scott Ave 28203	24377076	Robicak@ad. com
2.				wordkm73@MSn.com/
3.		9		
	Trictal Serome Menerole	1707 Lombard y Circle	4841076-7315	tricia. menendez@ yahoo. con
4.	Lenore/Lance Deuk	e 1125 Linganore Pr.	704 334265	7 lencre Jo cardina. m.com
5.	Andy Siwelec	·		60 asimuleccaul-com
6.	Stary Hartley	. —		sghartley 19 Egmail, com
7.	LIZ Lewis	830 EPWK Are	7043010135	
8.	Fran Miller	2106 Sarah Nark AV	204-488-02	98 fre

	NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
9.	ANNE SCHWORM GRAYSTEPHENS	1232 MARLWOOD TERRACE	764 523 3036	SCHWORM @ IX. Netrom, com
10.	Rep Man ZX	1630 De mithede	70 (1-375-57)	may balk on
11.	Sallie Moody	1326 Dilworth Rd W	104-315-8171	sallie moody pregnail con
12.	Nancy Mullins	2309 SilverthRdW	513-292-4712	Mmullins St@ graul. Com
13.	XIRK CECHTAN	1165 Lingweeche	70f-962-990	3 Couls Burgery @ sol con
14.	Lauren (Dolull	170 Sombard Circle	704-534-49et	
15.	Camara Titus	620 E. Worthington Are		Shrew@mndspnng.com
16.	Jant Bright	1906 Lombardy Cir		Janalbright83@gwailcon
17.	Mary Caertier	711 Romany Rd		magaertner@carolina.rr.com
18.	Trances Tzamis	1200 Kenilworth Ave		tznfranchotmail.com
19.	Sarat Homer M.	1724 Lombardy Cir	704 607-7048	saramyers. 1 el notmail. com
20.	TRIPP BULLA	735 E. KINGSTON AVE		Ż
21.	PAMY MINGER	2144 PARIK KD	252-567-1364	PMIA, PRINCEN GGMAIL COM

Goffry Curne

100, Mt. Juna Ave 704-578-461

	NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
22.				
	Canet Sleiffer	1623 Lyndhuut ave	617-572-1796	janet mpfeiffer & hotmail . com
23.	Macagast Cliffod	900 F Park Ave	704 405 1347	muscliffe a value of
24.	Ann Cabaniss	Lynd Coral Wood	104 141 900	janet mpfeiffer Chitmail.com mwcliffo & falso com 3 and an-group, con
25.	THIN COCONIII	1 109 301 COT 10 9 COT	10 1-691-821	Una(a) Con-aloup, con
26.				
27.				
28.				
29.				
30.				
31.				
32.				
33.				
34.				

. .

Dilworth Meeting Attendance Sheet

** This attendance sheet is to acknowledge your attendance at the community meeting so that City Council may know who attended the community meeting. Signing this attendance sheet does not indicate support or opposition to the proposed rezoning petition. **

Petitioner: The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority

Rezoning Petition No. 2019-114

Wednesday, February 12, 2020 at 6:30 P.M.

	NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
1.	Thurself .			julie. e isette charlottene. gov
2.	C			
	Gretzhen Kr. Thill	1829 Cumberland Ave		guthill ognails com
3.	Store	1126 S. Kuen		protonstored a gustica
4.	Leslie Ford	1544 Clayton Dr.	704-957-6841	lestieford@carolina.rr.com
5.	Pennis Hall	1239 Pregrave Pl	704.567.4161	dhalle hallass com
6.	Callor Goodsich	170x Lamberdy Cor		Choodrich@triadire.com
7.	CynthiaZakany	2415 Charlotte DR	919.426.6822	(Zakay Dgmail. com
8.	LISA SteWART	1618 Park Rd		LISAANN 1618 @ y phoo, com

	NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
9.	DAVID SWEET	1021 ISLEWORTH AVE		desweat@ATTINET
10.	New Hyde	716 & Worthayle		Khyle @icloud. can
11.	JAMES HAUW	2136 PARK Rd		Jimhaune bellsouth. NET
12.	DIANE HOMPSON	1908 DILWORTH ROAD		dthompored fis noing
13.	WINHEL DUDHING	1328 00-DEPMOPE but		michaeldunning e caroling, tr. con
14.	MARY ANNE KLASEN	1315 FAST BLVD		maryame, klasen @ grail, com
15.	Ray McKinnon	5720 Porostfald Pank Dr.		rayonekinnonformeck, com
16.	MPa Ollare	1907 Lombardy Circle		HeysFgirl@gmail.com
17.	Janelle Trans	635 Pointeplette		teausgra agnal. com
18.				
19.				
20.		i-		
21.			.,	
		<u> </u>		